

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	CATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/978,134	1	10/15/2001	Bradford Evan Gliner	337348021US 4196		
25096	7590	06/23/2004		EXAMINER		
<b>PERKINS</b>	COIE LL	P	BRADFORD, RODERICK D			
PATENT-SI	EA					
P.O. BOX 1:	247		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247				3762	12	

**DATE MAILED: 06/23/2004** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/978,13	4	GLINER ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Roderick	Bradford	3762	
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	-
THE N - Exten after 3 - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum statue to reply within the set or extended period for reply weply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  137 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenication.  days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the appli	ent, however, may a reply be time story minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status					
1)[🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>15 April 2004</i> .			
·		o)⊠ This action is n	on-final.		
-	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	•	• •		s is
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>15-31</u> is/are pending in the additional state of the above claim(s) is/are claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>15 and 17-31</u> is/are rejected claim(s) <u>16</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restrictions.	withdrawn from cor			
Applicati	on Papers				
9) 🗌 :	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			
10) 🗌 .	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)	$\square$ objected to by the F	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🗆	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t The oath or declaration is objected to	•	-, -		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation the certified detailed Office action	ocuments have beel ocuments have beel f the priority docume al Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment	(s)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary		
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P · No(s)/Mail Date <u>6-9</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-14 and 32-49 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Groups, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 15.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 15, 17-19, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mann U.S. Patent No. 6,622,048.

Referring to claim 15 Mann discloses a method of automatically determining a favorable neuro-stimulation program for a patient comprising: applying an electrical stimulus having a plurality of stimulus parameters to a selected configuration of the therapy electrodes that have been installed at a target site, sensing a response to the applied electrical stimulus at a sensing device that has been installed at a sense location, determining whether the response is within a desired range or an improvement over a previous sensed response from a different electrical stimulus and/or a different

Application/Control Number: 09/978,134

Art Unit: 3762

Page 3

configuration of therapy electrodes, selecting an alternate configuration of electrodes and/or an alternate electrical stimulus, repeating the applying, sensing and determining procedures using an alternate configuration of electrodes and/or the alternate electrical stimulus and choosing a configuration of therapy electrodes and/or an electrical stimulus corresponding to a sensed response that is within a desired range and/or provides a better result compared to others (column 4, lines 15-40).

Referring to claim 17, wherein the selecting procedure comprises computing an alternate electrode configuration while maintaining constant stimulus parameters, and wherein the computing the alternate electrode configuration comprises correlating a plurality of sensed responses with corresponding electrode configurations to which the constant stimulus parameters were applied to determine and electrodeconfiguration/response trend to estimating a new electrode configuration that is expected to improve efficacy according to the electrode-configuration/response trend (column 21, lines 36-55).

Referring to claim 30, wherein the data comprises coordinates of neural activity relative to the therapy electrodes (abstract and column 6, lines 39-41).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/978,134

Art Unit: 3762

5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 4

6. Claims 20-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mann et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,622,048.

Referring to claims 20-27 Mann discloses the claimed invention except for the specified times as stated by applicant. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to one skilled in the art to modify the teachings of Mann to include the different specified times, since applicant has not disclosed that the specified times provides any criticality and/or unexpected results and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with any specified time, such as the time as used by Mann, as a means for configuring the electrodes to provide appropriate stimulation.

7. Claims 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mann et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,622,048 in view of John et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,463,328.

Referring to claims 28 and 29, Mann fails to disclose wherein the sensing

Art Unit: 3762

procedure comprises attaching EMG sensors to a sense site of the patient, detecting peripheral responses to the stimuli applied to the electrodes, and automatically sending the detected peripheral responses to the controller and wherein the sensing procedure comprises detecting data related to neural activity using a functional MRI and automatically sending the data to the controller. However, John discloses attaching EMG sensors to a sense site of the patient, detecting peripheral responses to the stimuli applied to the electrodes, and automatically sending the detected peripheral responses to the controller (column 4 line 59 – column 5 line 40) and wherein the sensing procedure comprises detecting data related to neural activity using a functional MRI and automatically sending the data to the controller (column 6, lines 7-26 and 48-54) as a more efficient means for obtaining data.

It would have be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Mann to include attaching EMG sensors to a sense site of the patient, detecting peripheral responses to the stimuli applied to the electrodes, and automatically sending the detected peripheral responses to the controller and wherein the sensing procedure comprises detecting data related to neural activity using a functional MRI and automatically sending the data to the controller, as taught by John, as a more efficient means for obtaining data.

# Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 16 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/978,134

Art Unit: 3762

Page 6

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roderick Bradford whose telephone number is (703) 305-3287. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7 a.m. - 4 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

1 Sentent

ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Cingel D. Alley